

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Amy Limbaugh [climbaugh@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:51 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Amy Limbaugh  
1337 Montcrest Way  
Amarillo, Tx. 79124

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin  
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Limbaugh  
8063568438

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Amy Limbaugh [climbaugh@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:51 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Amy Limbaugh  
1337 Montcrest Way  
Amarillo, Tx. 79124

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Limbaugh  
8063568438

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Amy Limbaugh [climbaugh@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:51 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Amy Limbaugh  
1337 Montcrest Way  
Amarillo, Tx. 79124

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Limbaugh  
8063568438

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Amy Limbaugh [climbaugh@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:51 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Amy Limbaugh  
1337 Montcrest Way  
Amarillo, Tx. 79124

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Limbaugh  
8063568438

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez  
3922 Elm St.  
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez  
3922 Elm St.  
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez  
3922 Elm St.  
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez  
3922 Elm St.  
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez



## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez  
3922 Elm St.  
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Ananda Savage [hppygr1732000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:45 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ananda Savage  
18147 Harwood Ave  
Homewood, IL 60430

October 17, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ananda D. Savage

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Ananda Savage [hppygrl732000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:45 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ananda Savage  
18147 Harwood Ave  
Homewood, IL 60430

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ananda D. Savage

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Ananda Savage [hppygrl732000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:45 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ananda Savage  
18147 Harwood Ave  
Homewood, IL 60430

October 17, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ananda D. Savage

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Ananda Savage [hppygr1732000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:45 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ananda Savage  
18147 Harwood Ave  
Homewood, IL 60430

October 17, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ananda D. Savage

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angel Garner [angelgarner@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:43 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angel Garner  
34 Cobblestone Road  
Houma, Louisiana 70360

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angel Garner  
985-223-5760

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angel Garner [angelgarner@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:43 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angel Garner  
34 Cobblestone Road  
Houma, Louisiana 70360

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angel Garner  
985-223-5760

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angel Garner [angelgarner@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:43 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angel Garner  
34 Cobblestone Road  
Houma, Louisiana 70360

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angel Garner  
985-223-5760



## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angel Garner [angelgarner@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:43 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angel Garner  
34 Cobblestone Road  
Houma, Louisiana 70360

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angel Garner  
985-223-5760

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Cooper [angelacooper@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:13 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Cooper  
4610 McTyre Way NW  
Marietta, GA 30064

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Cooper

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Cooper [angelacooper@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:13 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Cooper  
4610 McTyre Way NW  
Marietta, GA 30064

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Cooper

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Cooper [angelacooper@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:13 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Cooper  
4610 McTyre Way NW  
Marietta, GA 30064

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Cooper

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Cooper [angelacooper@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:13 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Cooper  
4610 McTyre Way NW  
Marietta, GA 30064

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Cooper

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Eaton [adeaton2@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2004 9:16 AM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Eaton  
6272 Glenview Dr., Apt. 271  
North Richland Hills, TX 76180

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more. It will also cause a hardship to those who are on fixed incomes and/or who are home-bound and unable to attend regular worship services.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela D. Eaton  
817-905-4165

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Eaton [adeaton2@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2004 9:17 AM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Eaton  
6272 Glenview Dr., Apt. 271  
North Richland Hills, TX 76180

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more. It will also cause a hardship to those who are on fixed incomes and/or who are home-bound and unable to attend regular worship services.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela D. Eaton  
817-905-4165

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Eaton [adeaton2@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2004 9:16 AM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Eaton  
6272 Glenview Dr., Apt. 271  
North Richland Hills, TX 76180

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more. It will also cause a hardship to those who are on fixed incomes and/or who are home-bound and unable to attend regular worship services.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela D. Eaton  
817-905-4165



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Eaton [adeaton2@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2004 9:16 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Eaton  
6272 Glenview Dr., Apt. 271  
North Richland Hills, TX 76180

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more. It will also cause a hardship to those who are on fixed incomes and/or who are home-bound and unable to attend regular worship services.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela D. Eaton  
817-905-4165

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Holley [aholley0919@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Holley  
1497 La Fayette Court  
Loganville, GA 30052

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Holley

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Holley [aholley0919@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 AM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Holley  
1497 La Fayette Court  
Loganville, GA 30052

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Holley

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Holley [aholley0919@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 AM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Holley  
1497 La Fayette Court  
Loganville, GA 30052

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Holley

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Holley [aholley0919@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:59 AM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Holley  
1497 La Fayette Court  
Loganville, GA 30052

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Holley

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Kernozek [akernozek@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:31 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Kernozek  
Manager  
Carlton Park Apartments  
13521 Woodruff Ave  
Bellflower, CA 90706

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Kernozek  
562-866-7663  
Manager  
Carlton Park Apartments